

The EU AI Act, distilled into ten directives

A worked example of turning regulation into machine-consultable rules. Each directive below was extracted by an LLM, held in quarantine, and released only after a person ratified it. Nothing auto-committed. Produced with Spiderbrain Guidelines.

Why this exists

Most policy documents cannot be consulted by an agent. They are prose, they have no provenance, and nothing records who agreed to them. This pack shows the alternative: ten typed directives, each carrying its source article, its type, and an audit trail of the human decision that activated it.

The reflexive finding is the interesting one. Spiderbrain's own ratify gate is an Article 14 human-oversight control, and its append-only event ledger is an Article 12 record-keeping control. The product is already shaped like the regulation, which is what directive r6 captures.

The ten directives

#	Type	Directive	Article
r1	constraint	AI-derived items never auto-commit. They land pending and require an explicit human ratify.	14(4)(d)
r2	constraint	Never render inferred output with ratified authority. Always label provenance.	14(4)(b)
r3	constraint	Every autonomous surface needs a documented interrupt and reverse.	14(4)(e)
r4	constraint	Oversight actions are append-only with actor and timestamp. Retire writes a tombstone, never a hard delete.	12
r5	constraint	Compaction may summarise claims but must never drop actor or ratification events.	12, 19
r6	decision	The append-only ledger is the compliance evidence artifact. It is what an Evidence Pack exports.	12
r7	constraint	Artifacts read as fact must disclose which parts are AI-derived and which are deterministically derived.	13, 50
r8	constraint	Hosted source documents are discarded after distillation by default. Retention is stated explicitly.	10, GDPR 5(1)(c)
r9	pattern	Prove oversight by showing the ratify event, not by describing the policy.	14
r10	pattern	Every published accuracy claim states its metric, corpus and conditions, and stays reproducible.	15

Provenance and limits

All ten directives were submitted at the **ai-inferred** tier, the honest label for an AI distillation. They are primarily drawn from Article 14 (human oversight) and Article 12 (record-keeping), with adjacent references to Articles 10, 13, 15, 19 and 50.

This legal mapping is a hand-distillation. It must be reviewed by qualified counsel before it is relied on as an actual compliance artifact. Treat it as INFERRED, and confirm before relying on it. That is the same discipline this product applies to its own output.

Spiderbrain by Perform Digital Pvt Ltd · spiderbrain.ai · support@spiderbrain.ai
Distilled 20 July 2026. This document is informational and is not legal advice.